	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/712,330	MAZZONE, RICHARD J.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Son M. Tang	2612	
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Allowance</u>		
(1) <u>Son M. Tang</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Thomas Krul (Attorney)</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>16 June 2006</u>	Time: <u>10:30 AM</u>		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A			
Claims discussed: 12,29,32 and 33			
Prior art documents discussed: Kimmel et al. US 6,972,676			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Applicant authorized the attached Examiner Amendment to place application in condition for allowance. See attached Examiner Amendment for detail.			
Part III.			
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			
		•	
Justin			
Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)			